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- Nation’s largest energy laboratory
- Nation’s largest science facility:
  - The $1.4 billion Spallation Neutron Source
- Nation’s largest concentration of open source materials research
- Nation’s largest open scientific computing facility

- Privately managed for US DOE
- $1.08 billion budget
- 4000+ employees total
  - 1500 scientists and engineers
- 3,000 research guests annually
- 30,000 visitors each year
- Total land area 58mi² (150km²)
ORNL East Campus: Site of World Leading Computing and Computational Sciences

- Computational Sciences Building
- Research Office Building
- Engineering Technology Facility
- Old Computational Sciences Building (until June 2003)
- Joint Institute for Computational Sciences
- Systems Research Team
- Research Support Center (Cafeteria, Conference, Visitor)
National Center for Computational Sciences

- 40,000 ft² (3700 m²) computer center:
  - 36-in (~1m) raised floor, 18 ft (5.5 m) deck-to-deck
  - 12 MW of power with 4,800 t of redundant cooling
  - High-ceiling area for visualization lab:
    - 35 MPixel PowerWall, Access Grid, etc.

- 2 systems in the Top 500 List of Supercomputer Sites:
  - Phoenix: 32. Cray X1E, Vector with 1014 Processors ⇒ 18 TFlop.
At Forefront in Scientific Computing and Simulation

- Leading partnership in developing the National Leadership Computing Facility
  - Leadership-class scientific computing capability
  - 100 TFlop/s in 2006/7 (recently installed)
  - 250 TFlop/s in 2007/8 (commitment made)
  - 1 PFlop/s in 2008/9 (proposed)

- Attacking key computational challenges
  - Climate change
  - Nuclear astrophysics
  - Fusion energy
  - Materials sciences
  - Biology

- Providing access to computational resources through high-speed networking (10Gbps)
System Research Team

- SRT team
  - Stephen L. Scott
  - Christian Engelmann
  - Hong Ong
  - Geoffroy Vallee
  - Thomas Naughton
  - Sudharshan Vazhkudai
  - Anand Tikotekar

- Research topics
  - High Availability / Fault Tolerance
  - Operating Systems
  - Distributed Storage
  - Cluster Computing
  - Virtualization Technologies
  - Resource Management
  - Tools
System-level Virtualization - Outline

- Introduction to system-level virtualization
- Hypervisor for HPC
- High availability
- System management
Why Virtualization?

- Workload isolation
  - Improves security and reliability
    - Isolate software stack to own VM
    - Intrusions confined to VM scope
- Workload consolidation
  - Better resource utilization
    - Consolidate work to fewer servers
    - Support incompatible or legacy operating environments
- Workload migration
  - Improves quality of service
    - Workload balance
    - Failure detection/migration
Classification

- Two kinds of system virtualization
  - **Type-I**: the virtual machine monitor and the virtual machine run directly on top of the hardware,
  - **Type-II**: the virtual machine monitor and the virtual machine run on top of the host OS
Virtual Machines

• First studies in the 70's (e.g. “Architecture of Virtual Machines”, R.P. Goldberg, 1973)

• Common idea
  – the physical machine runs an OS → Host OS
  – the virtual machine runs its own OS → Guest OS

• Today different approaches
  – **para-virtualization**: modification of the running OS for performance
  – **full-virtualization**: the running OS is not modified
  – **emulation**: the host OS has a different architecture than the guest OS
  – **hardware support**: Intel-VT, AMD-V
System-level Virtualization Solutions

- Number of solutions, e.g., Xen, QEMU, KVM, VMWare

- *Which one to use?*
  - *Type-I virtualization: performance*
  - *Type-II virtualization: development*
Type-I Virtualization - Design

x86 Architecture – Execution Rings

x86 Architecture – “Modified” Execution Rings
Type-I Virtualization - Hypervisor

- Hypervisor is running in ring 0
- Kernels are running in ring 1
  - impossible to execute protected processor instructions
  - the hypervisor needs to hijack protected processor instructions (paravirtualization: Hypervisor calls, similar to syscalls)
  - overhead for all hypervisor calls
- Applications are still running in ring 3, no modifications
Type-I Virtualization – Device Drivers

- VMs are running in ring 1, no access to devices
- Most of the time the hypervisor does not include device drivers
- Couple Hypervisor + Host OS
  - host OS includes drivers
  - hardware access from VMs are done through the Host OS

Source: Barney Maccabe, UNM
Type-I Virtualization – Hardware Support

- Create a hardware “virtualized context”
- Transition from VM mode to “Hypervisor mode”
  - save registers
  - context switch (similar to process switch within a traditional OS)
- Current implementations: Intel-VT, AMD-V (not compatible)
Type-II Virtualization - Design

- Simpler model: the Host OS and the Hypervisor are “stacked”
- No modifications of OSes
- Allow a BIOS simulation
- Easy to extend for emulation (e.g. PPC on x86_64)
- Less efficient than type-I virtualization (especially comparing to para-virtualization)
Example of Type-I Virtualization: Xen

- **Xen**: *para-virtualization (type-I)*
  - *Adv.:* good performance for computation
  - *Problems:* overhead for I/Os, modification of the Linux kernel, start to be complex (driven by ASP market, different needs than ours), not a full virtualization of the system
Example of Type-II Virtualization

- **VMWare: full-virtualization (type-II)**
  - Adv.: mature
  - Problems: still difficult to adapt (not open source), not really suitable for HPC

- **QEMU: full-virtualization (type-II)**
  - Adv.: open source, performance similar to VMWare, support a lot of architectures
  - Problems: performance not suitable for HPC

- **KVM: full-virtualization (type-II)**
  - Adv.: open source, maintained by the Linux community
  - Problems: Linux as Hypervisor
System-level Virtualization - Outline

- Introduction to system-level virtualization
- Hypervisor for HPC
- High availability
- System management
Context

- Large scale systems
  - XT3/XT4 Cray machine
  - ORNL Institutional Cluster
- Different users needs
  - Development environments may differ: from desktops to small clusters
- Variety of user applications
Challenges

- Difficult to provide a single execution environment
  - that fits users/applications needs
  - that is similar to all development environments
- Several challenges
  - plug-and-play computing
  - execution environment customization

A possible approach: System-level Virtualization
Xen for HPC?

- Xen has proven to be interesting for HPC
- But Xen also has drawbacks
  - it has become too large
  - supports many architectures (much legacy stuff, we are only interested in x86_64)
  - some functionalities interesting for HPC are periodically broken
  - monolithic
  - static
VMM for HPC

- LDRD Project at ORNL: ORNL, Sandia, UNM, Northwestern University
- Sandia/UNM: development of a VMM based on Catamount
- Northwestern: development of a dynamic hypervisor framework
- ORNL: development of a VMM based on Xen
  - Fork of Xen 2 (smaller), “take the best of Xen”
  - port on x86_64
  - write documentation (education)
  - focus on only functionalities for HPC
VMM for HPC

Goals

- be able to modify dynamically Hypervisor's behavior (T. Naughton, G. Vallee)
- have a small hypervisor, i.e., w/ a small footprint (G. Vallee, T. Naughton)
- efficient I/Os
  - VMM by-pass
  - API and integration of IOMMU
- have documentation!

In collaboration with Northwestern University (Prof. Peter. Dinda)
Introduction to system-level virtualization
Hypervisor for HPC
High availability
System management
System-level Virtualization and High Availability

- Current studies based on Xen
- Virtualization provides interesting mechanisms for HA: VM live migration, VM checkpoint/restart
  - Live migration is efficient
  - Checkpoint/restart is not yet complete (better with Xen 3): no checkpoint of the file system
VM Checkpoint / Restart

- Already possible to checkpoint the memory (memory dump in a file)
- File system checkpoint/restart

In collaboration with LATech (Prof. Box Leangsuksun)
HA Framework Based on Virtualization (1)

- **Goal**: take benefit of system abstraction provided by system virtualization

- **Pro-active FT**: migrate, pause VMs before failure occurs when something wrong is detected
  - implementation of a framework to provide various policies regarding when and how to checkpoint/migrate
HA Framework Based on Virtualization (2)

- Reactive FT
  - checkpoint/restart of applications with BLCR
  - BLCR port to Xenolinux
  - active/standby duplication for service on headnode

- Combining pro-active and reactive FT
  - pro-active FT avoids issues when problems are detected before failures
  - reactive restart applications after a failure and stop pro-active FT mechanism
Framework Architecture

Policy Daemon

Fault Tolerance Daemon

Connectors
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Pro-active Fault Tolerance

1: Alarm: disk errors, so migrate

Avoid Jobs

Xen VM

Fault Prediction Based on Hardware Monitoring

Node i

Network

Fault Tolerance Policy (w/ failover mechanism)

Headnode w/ Fault Tolerant Job Scheduler

2: VM Live Migration

Fault Prediction Based on Hardware Monitoring

Node k
Reactive Fault Tolerance

Headnode w/ Fault Tolerant Job Scheduler

Failover

Headnode w/ Fault Tolerant Job Scheduler

Failure Detected, Restart Process

BLCR (in a Xen VM)

Fault Prediction Based on Hardware Monitoring

Node i

BLCR (in a Xen VM)

Fault Prediction Based on Hardware Monitoring

Spare Node k

Network
System-level Virtualization - Outline

- Introduction to system-level virtualization
- Hypervisor for HPC
- High availability
- System management
Management of Virtualized Environments

- Current issues similar to real systems
  - how to deploy a VM?
  - how to configure a VM?
  - How to deploy multiple VMs?
- Users do not want to deal with technical details, a VM is just
  - an architecture
  - some NICs
  - some memory
  - etc.
Abstraction of System Virtualization Solutions - Introduction

- Users
  - do not want to deal with technical details
  - wants to specify their need in term of VMs with an high-level description (memory, disk, etc.)

- Virtualization solutions have different benefits
  - Xen: performance
  - QEMU: full system emulation, eases developments
V3M – VM Profile

- Simple, high-description of VMs
- Example

```xml
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<!DOCTYPE profile PUBLIC "" "v3m_profile.dtd">
<profile>
  <name>test</name>
  <type>Xen</type>
  <image size="50">/home/gvallee/temp/v2m/test_xen.img</image>
  <nic1>
    <type>TUN/TAP</type>
    <mac>00:02:03:04:05:06</mac>
  </nic1>
</profile>
```
Profile Management

- **Concept of profiles**
  - for VMs, a profile is: memory, disk, OS, NICs, network configuration
  - for virtual distributed system, a profile is: a set of profiles of virtual machines

![Diagram showing the flow from User to VM Specification (GUI), then to Profile (XML file), and finally to VM or set of VMs.](image)
Abstraction of System Virtualization Solutions

- **V3M** (Virtual Machine Management and Monitoring)
  - Allows users to specify VMs through a simple XML file (profile)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V2M</th>
<th>KVMs</th>
<th>Applications based on libv3m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Virtual Machine Management Command Line Interface)</td>
<td>(GUI for Linux - KDE/Qt)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **High-Level Interface**
  - (vm_create, create_image_from_cdrom, create_image_with_oscar, vm_migrate, vm_pause, vm_unpause)

- **Virtualization Abstraction**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qemu</th>
<th>Xen</th>
<th>VMWare</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V3M Back-ends</th>
<th>V3M Front-end</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
V3M – Management Capabilities

- Check the system
- Check the profile
- Create configuration scripts for VM management
- Provide simple interface for VM management: boot, image management, status
- Switch to a new virtualization solution: only change the type
Virtual Cluster Management

- Goals
  - Host OS management
  - Definition of images for VMs (which may deeply differ)
  - Deployment of VMs
  - Hide technical details associated to each virtualization solution

- OSCAR-V
  - OSCAR extension for the management of VMs
  - Integrates V3M and V2M
OSCAR-V

- OSCAR packages for Xen, Qemu
  - capability to create an image for Host OSes
    - minimal image
    - benefit of OSCAR features for the deployment
    - automatic configuration of system level virtualization solutions
    - complete networking tools for virtualization solutions
  - capability to create images for VMs
    - may be based on any Linux distributions supported by OSCAR: Mandriva, Suse, Debian, FC, RHEL, etc.
    - benefit of the default OSCAR configuration for compute nodes
VM Deployment on Demand

- OSCAR-V does not allow an automatic deployment of VMs at job submission time
- Integration of Dynamic Virtual Clusters
  - Moab extension for the deployment of VMs during job submission
  - Use OSCAR images, deployment based on DVC
  - Collaboration with ASU (Dan Santizone)
Operating System Research at ORNL:

System-level Virtualization

Presented by:

Christian Engelmann

engelmannnc@ornl.gov

Systems Research Team

Computer Science Research Group
Computer Science and Mathematics Division
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
United States Department of Energy