



## Incremental Methods for Computing Extreme Singular Subspaces

Christopher G. Baker $1$ Kyle A. Gallivan<sup>2</sup>

Paul Van Dooren<sup>3</sup>

 $1$ Computational Engineering & Energy Sciences, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Florida State University, USA  $3$ CESAME, Universitè catholique de Louvain, Belgium

> 2012 SIAM Conference on Linear Algebra Valencia, Spain

> > <span id="page-0-0"></span>June 18, 2011

C.G. Baker, <http://www.csm.ornl.gov/~cbaker> — [Incremental SVD, SIAM Linear Algebra, June 18 2012](#page-12-0) 1/11



#### Dominant SVD Definition



#### Singular Value Decomposition

The singular value decomposition of an  $m \times n$  matrix A is

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
A = U \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} V^T
$$

with orthogonal U and V,  $\Sigma$  diagonal with non-negative entries.

#### Dominant SVD

The dominant SVD refers to the vectors of  $U$  and  $V$  corresponding the largest singular values. It has use in numerous applications:

- **a** model reduction
- **o** data compression
- **o** statistics

This is largely due to its optimality in approximating  $A$ .



### Dominant SVD Computation



#### Computing the Dominant SVD

This can be done by:

- computing the full SVD and truncating (dense)
- computing the dominant eigenvectors of  $A^TA$ ,  $AA^T$  or  $\left[0,A^T;A,0\right]$
- non-linear attacks on  $f(U, V, \Sigma) = AV U\Sigma = 0$
- **low-rank incremental SVD methods**

Basic Idea: given  $B=U\Sigma V^T$  and  $B_+$ , compute the SVD of  $\begin{bmatrix} B & B_+\end{bmatrix}$ .

- $\bullet$  Do this for all columns of a matrix A and you get the SVD of A.
- $\bullet$  But it costs more than the direct SVD of  $A$ . So why do it that way?
	- you need an online calculation, and that's how the data arrives
	-



### Dominant SVD Computation



#### Computing the Dominant SVD

This can be done by:

- **o** computing the full SVD and truncating (dense)
- computing the dominant eigenvectors of  $A^TA$ ,  $AA^T$  or  $\left[0,A^T;A,0\right]$
- non-linear attacks on  $f(U, V, \Sigma) = AV U\Sigma = 0$
- **low-rank incremental SVD methods**

#### Incremental/Updating SVD Approach

- Basic Idea: given  $B=U\Sigma V^T$  and  $B_+$ , compute the SVD of  $\begin{bmatrix} B & B_+\end{bmatrix}$ .
- $\bullet$  Do this for all columns of a matrix A and you get the SVD of A.
- $\bullet$  But it costs more than the direct SVD of A. So why do it that way?
	- you need an online calculation, and that's how the data arrives
	- ...



#### Dominant SVD Low-Rank Incremental Computation



#### A low-rank approximation

- Relax the previous incremental approach.
- $\overline{1)}$  Take a rank- $k$  approximation  $B\approx U\Sigma V^T$  and new vectors  $B_+$
- 2) Update the SVD of  $\begin{bmatrix} U\Sigma V^T & B_+ \end{bmatrix}$
- 3) Keep only the rank- $k$  dominant part:  $U_+ \Sigma_+ V_+^T$
- Result is  $U_+ \Sigma_+ V_+^T \approx \begin{bmatrix} U \Sigma V^T & B_+ \end{bmatrix} \approx \approx \begin{bmatrix} B & B_+ \end{bmatrix}$

Input matrix A.

- $\ket{0}$  Initial rank- $k$  factorization  $U\Sigma V^T$  from the first few columns of  $A$
- 1) For new columns  $A_+$  from  $A$ , compute SVD of  $\begin{bmatrix} U \Sigma V^T & A_+ \end{bmatrix}$
- $(2)$  Keep the dominant part, truncate  $U\Sigma V^T$  back to rank- $k$
- 3) If more columns in A, goto 1.



#### Dominant SVD Low-Rank Incremental Computation



#### A low-rank approximation

- Relax the previous incremental approach.
- $\overline{1)}$  Take a rank- $k$  approximation  $B\approx U\Sigma V^T$  and new vectors  $B_+$
- 2) Update the SVD of  $\begin{bmatrix} U\Sigma V^T & B_+ \end{bmatrix}$
- 3) Keep only the rank- $k$  dominant part:  $U_+ \Sigma_+ V_+^T$
- Result is  $U_+ \Sigma_+ V_+^T \approx \begin{bmatrix} U \Sigma V^T & B_+ \end{bmatrix} \approx \approx \begin{bmatrix} B & B_+ \end{bmatrix}$

#### A Low-Rank Incremental SVD Method

Input matrix A.

- 0) Initial rank- $k$  factorization  $U\Sigma V^T$  from the first few columns of  $A$
- 1) For new columns  $A_+$  from  $A$ , compute SVD of  $\begin{bmatrix} U \Sigma V^T & A_+ \end{bmatrix}$
- $\overline{2)}$  Keep the dominant part, truncate  $U \Sigma V^T$  back to rank- $k$
- 3) If more columns in A, goto 1.





- **B. S. Manjunath, S. Chandrasekaran and Y. F. Wang.** An eigenspace update algorithm for image analysis, 1995.
- **S. Chandrasekaran, B. S. Manjunath, Y. F. Wang, J. Winkeler and H. Zhang.** An eigenspace update algorithm for image analysis, 1997.
- A. Levy and M. Lindenbaum. Sequential Karhunen-Loeve basis extraction and its application to images, 2000.
- Y. Chahlaoui, K. Gallivan and P. Van Dooren. An incremental method for computing dominant singular spaces, 2001.
- $\bullet$  M. Brand. Incremental singular value decomposition of uncertain data with missing values, 2002.
- Y. Chahlaoui, K. Gallivan and P. Van Dooren. Recursive calculation of dominant singular subspaces, 2003.
- C. G. Baker. A block incremental algorithm for computing dominant singular subspaces, 2004.
- M. Brand. Fast low-rank modifications of the thin singular value decomposition, 2006.
- C. G. Baker, K. A. Gallivan, P. Van Dooren. Low-Rank Incremental Methods for Computing Dominant Singular Subspaces. 2012.



#### Low-Rank Incremental SVD Algorithmic Motivation

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

#### **Benefits**

- Reduced cost: rank-k IncSVD of  $m \times n$  matrix in  $O(mnk)$  flops.
- Reduced storage:  $O(mk + nk)$ , compared to  $O(mn)$  for full SVD+trunc.
- $\bullet$  Pass efficient: streaming access to A, for online analysis, distant storage
- The algorithm is rich in BLAS3 routines.
- Can be used to compute subordinate (smallest) SVD as well.

#### Downside

- The efficiency comes from truncating data, maintaining low rank.
- But truncated data introduces errors.
- The resulting factorization only approximates the dominant SVD.
- We would like to know:
	- **4** how well does it work?
	- **2** what exactly is it doing?

## Low-Rank Incremental SVD What is it doing?



• Consider the IncSVD of the matrix  $AD$ , for some orthogonal  $D$ :

$$
D = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & D_2 & \cdots & D_b \end{bmatrix}
$$

• At each step j, the method is shown to select  $V_i$  that optimizes

$$
RQ(Y) = \operatorname{trace}\left(Y^T A^T A Y\right),\,
$$

for  $Y \in \text{span}(\begin{bmatrix} V_{j-1} & D_j \end{bmatrix})$ 

• For "standard"  $D = I$ , this is a sweep over the coordinate axes:

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
D_j = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & I & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T
$$

• What else can we do with  $D$ ?





Restarting the IncSVD A Multi-pass Method



#### Restarting with D

- Choosing  $D = \begin{bmatrix} V & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$  allows the procedure to be restarted.
- Representing  $D=I+WY^T$  (rank- $k$  update) maintains pass efficiency.

**•** Additionally, gradient information

 $\nabla RQ(Y) = A^T A V$ 

can be injected into  $D$  to speed convergence.

**•** Limited information can be efficiently injected into  $D$  in this way.







<span id="page-10-0"></span>

#### Provable Convergence [BGVD12]

- a) Global convergence, with stable convergence only to dominant subspaces.
- b) Linear convergence, with a rate  $c = \gamma/(\kappa^2 1)$ , where:
	- $\gamma$  concerns the subspace information of truncated data
	- $\kappa = \sigma_k / \sigma_{k+1}$  is the gap between dominant and dominated

• These are expected of an ascent method.



C.G. Baker, <http://www.csm.ornl.gov/~cbaker> — [Incremental SVD, SIAM Linear Algebra, June 18 2012](#page-0-0) 9/11

## Best and Worse Case Performance

#### Best Case

- For certain classes of matrices, a single pass will perfectly compute the dominant singular values and subspaces.
- This occurs when  $\sigma_{k+1} = \sigma_{k+2} = \cdots = \sigma_n$ .
- Analogous result holds for smallest singular values with  $\sigma_1 = \cdots = \sigma_{n-k}$

#### • Consequences:

- $\bullet$   $O(mnk)$  rank- $k+1$  IncSVD is capable of identifying all  $\sigma \in \sigma(A)$
- <sup>277</sup>

#### Worst Case

- The worst case performance seems to correspond to  $\sigma_k = \sigma_{k+1}$ , no gap.
- **•** Current analysis doesn't apply.
- **•** Convergence still seems to occur, albeit very slowly.



C.G. Baker, <http://www.csm.ornl.gov/~cbaker> — [Incremental SVD, SIAM Linear Algebra, June 18 2012](#page-0-0) 10/11

National Laborator

# Extensions/Future Work

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

#### **Extensions**

- **o** Other factorizations:
	- tensor SVD/higher-order SVD [O'Hara 2010]
	- $\bullet$  CX factorization, where C samples columns of A (data-driven apps.)
	- symmetry-preserving SVD [Shah, Sorensen 2006], other structured SVDs
- Sparsification procedures:
	- If A is sparse and the factorization is sparsified, sub-linear  $O(\alpha nk)$  work
	- See [O'Hara 2010]

#### Future Work

- Global convergence is nice, but fast convergence is nice, too.
- Would like tighter bounds on single pass error.
- Need good stopping criteria for multi-pass method.
- Wanted: application.