

Definitions

Exercise 6.1 (Types and abbreviations) Using basic type declarations and abbreviations, introduce expressions to represent

- (a) the set of all people
- (b) the set of all people living in Oxford
- (c) the set of all pairs of integers
- (d) the set of all pairs of integers in which each element is strictly greater than zero
- (e) the set of all couples, where a couple comprises exactly two people
- (f) the set of all parties, where a party is any group of eight or more people

□

Exercise 6.2 (Approximation) If *ideal* is an integer, and *Available* is a set of natural numbers, then *closest* is a number in *Available* such that the absolute value $|closest - ideal|$ is as small as possible. Assuming that *ideal* and *Available* are constants of your specification, use an axiomatic definition to define *closest*.

(If m is an integer, then the absolute value $|m|$ is the difference between m and 0. This is equal to m if m is a positive integer, and equal to $-m$ if m is a negative integer.) □

Exercise 6.3 (Strictly positive) We write \mathbb{N}_1 to denote the set of all strictly-positive integers. Show how this set may be defined by abbreviation and by axiomatic definition. □

Exercise 6.4 (Primes and composites) In \mathbb{Z} , the symbol mod is used to denote the remainder of integer division, and the symbol \dots is used to denote number range. For example,

$$9 \text{ mod } 4 = 1 \quad 2 \dots 8 = \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$$

Using these operators,

- (a) define the set *Primes*, which consists of all strictly-positive integers that have no divisors apart from 1 and themselves.
- (b) define the set *Composites*, which consists of all strictly-positive integers that are not prime.

□

Exercise 6.5 (Not an element of) The symbol \notin is not a primitive object: it can be defined in terms of the symbol for set membership \in . Using a generic definition, define $_ \notin _$ to be the set of pairs (x, s) such that x is not an element of s .

□

Exercise 6.6 (The empty set revisited) In our use of the empty set symbol, we will invariably omit the actual parameter. By adding suitable parameters constructed from \mathbb{N} , show how it is possible for the following expressions to be well-defined:

- (a) $\emptyset \in \emptyset$
- (b) $\emptyset \subseteq (\emptyset \times \emptyset)$
- (c) $(\emptyset \times \{\emptyset\}) \subseteq \emptyset$

□